

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/055,241	PECK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary J. Steelman	2191	

All Participants:

(1) Mary J. Steelman.

(2) Jeffrey C. Hood, Reg. No. 35,198.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1 and all independent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Mary Steelman Primary Examiner
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 11.16.06

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend independent claims to recite "wherein the programmable hardware element comprises a programmable gate array", to distinguish from a microprocessor that is programmed with software. A programmable hardware element and hardware configuration program are clarified in the Specification at page 31, line 24 through page 32, line 3. .